

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 132 (1998) 91-100



# In situ FT-IR and kinetic study of methanol synthesis from $CO_2/H_2$ over $ZnAl_2O_4$ and $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$ catalysts

F. Le Peltier<sup>a</sup>, P. Chaumette<sup>a</sup>, J. Saussey<sup>b</sup>, M.M. Bettahar<sup>b,\*,1</sup>, J.C. Lavalley<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut Français du Pétrole, 1, Rue du Bois-Préau, BP 311, 92506 Rueil-Malmaison, France

<sup>b</sup> Laboratoire de Catalyse et Spectrochimie, UMR CNRS 6605, ISMRA, 6, Boulevard du Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen Cedex, France

Received 18 July 1997; accepted 16 October 1997

#### Abstract

The kinetics of the  $CO_2/H_2$  reaction over  $ZnAl_2O_4$  and  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalysts at 250°C up to 0.3 MPa have been followed by in situ FT-IR spectroscopy. Both methanol and carbon monoxide formation were enhanced in presence of copper. They were also produced by independent routes through different adsorbed species. Formate (type I, I' and II), methoxy and carbonate species were identified on the support and, in addition, copper formate and copper carbonyl species when copper was present. The hydrogenation of carbonate species to copper formate species was found rate determining in methanol synthesis over the  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalyst whereas type I formate species were shown to be the active intermediate for this reaction over the  $ZnAl_2O_4$  support. Carbon monoxide resulted from the water gas shift reaction probably through the same species as methanol formed over the  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalyst whereas it seemed to stem from formate species of type II in the case of the  $ZnAl_2O_4$  support. Type II formate species were shown inactive in presence of copper whereas the methoxy species adsorbed on the support were found inactive in presence and in absence of copper. The comparison of these results with those previously obtained with the  $CO/H_2$  mixture showed that the nature and the role of the detected species strongly depended on the reactive atmosphere and on the presence or not of copper in the catalyst composition. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Methanol synthesis from  $CO/CO_2/H_2$  mixtures using  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalysts is an important reaction from both industrial and fundamental point of view [1–10]. Though a great number of studies have been devoted to this reaction, its mechanism is still not well understood [6-9]. Particularly the role of each carbon oxide in relation with the detected reaction intermediates is still debated [10-26].

We previously reported [27] the results of the  $CO/H_2$  reaction over a  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalyst at 250°C up to 0.3 MPa using an in situ FT-IR method. We observed the formation of several adsorbed species: four formate species (three on the support and one on copper), methoxy and carbonate species adsorbed on the support and carbonyl species adsorbed on copper. The study

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: + 33-3-83912066 ext. 3125; fax: + 33-3-8392181; e-mail: bettahar@lcah.u-nancy.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Laboratoire de Catalyse Hétérogène, Université Henri Poincaré Nancy – I, BP 239, Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy Cedex, France.

of species reactivity and kinetic investigations showed the existence of two reaction paths for methanol synthesis, involving as key reaction intermediate formate species (on the  $ZnAl_2O_4$ support) and copper carbonyl species respectively. Inactive formate species adsorbed on the support have been also evidenced. Carbon dioxide, also formed during the reaction, resulted from the partial reduction of the support.

The present paper deals with the  $CO_2/H_2$  reaction on  $ZnAl_2O_4$  and  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalysts at 250°C which is investigated by an in situ FT-IR method. The observed reaction rates and evidenced adsorbed species throw some light on the involved chemical paths. The obtained results are compared to those reported for the CO/H<sub>2</sub> reaction on the same catalysts [27].

#### 2. Experimental

The catalyst preparation and characterization, the in situ FT-IR device and the method used were described previously [27]. The operating conditions were as following: before FT-IR experiments the catalysts were reduced in situ at 250°C under a hydrogen flow (0.9 1/h) at atmospheric pressure; the  $CO_2/H_2$  mixture was then introduced. The pressure variation ranges were 0.01–0.3 MPa for  $CO_2$  and 0.2–0.9 MPa for  $H_2$ .

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Characterization of the adsorbed species

The IR spectra of the catalysts under  $CO_2/H_2$  flow show the characteristic bands of the following species [28]:

- Carbonates species (1510, 1410  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).

– Carbonyl species adsorbed on copper (2100 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Its wavenumber allowed one to follow the copper oxidation state as a function of  $P_{CO_2}$  (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Variations of the wavenumber of the surface carbonyl species as a function of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the case of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst.  $P_{H_2} = 0.7$  MPa,  $T = 250^{\circ}$ C.

– Methoxy species adsorbed on the support (2943, 2835,  $1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). No copper methoxy species were detected.

- Three types of formate species (type I, I', II) adsorbed on the support: type I ( $F_I$ ) (2881, 2744, 1595, 1375 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and type I' ( $F_{I''}$ ) (1650, 1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Type II species ( $F_{II}$ ) (2895, 2767, 1590, 1390, 1370 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was similar to that detected on alumina.

- Formate species adsorbed on copper ( $F_{Cu}$ ) (2925, 2847, 2692, 1352 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

The reference bands for quantitative FT-IR analysis are those underlined above [27].

# 3.2. $ZnAl_2O_4$ catalyst

The spectrum of the  $ZnAl_2O_4$  sample activated under  $H_2$  at atmospheric pressure shows characteristic bands of surface hydroxyl species (free OH at 3680 cm<sup>-1</sup> and associated OH at 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>). An important amount of carbonate and residual formate species is also present. This spectrum has always been subtracted from those obtained in the following experiments under  $CO_2/H_2$  flow in order to follow the apparition of new bands due to the adsorbed species formed. Under  $CO_2/H_2$  flow a stationary state

is rapidly reached. The IR spectra present bands characteristic of the three types of formate species (type I, I', II) and of methoxy species.

Fig. 2 shows as a function of  $P_{CO_2}$ , the amount variations of the adsorbed species and of the reaction products in the gas phase respectively.

The formate I species concentration increases rapidly up to 0.1 MPa of CO<sub>2</sub> and then much more slowly (Fig. 2A). The amount of these species is greater than that obtained under CO/H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere [27]. Contrarily, formate II species formation seems to be independent of  $P_{CO_2}$  (Fig. 2A) as it was for  $P_{CO}$  [27]. As to the carbonate species, Fig. 2A suggests that they arise at the expense of the methoxy species when  $P_{CO_2}$  is higher than 0.1 MPa whereas they were not detected at all in the presence of CO/H<sub>2</sub> mixture [27]. We may speculate that a



Fig. 2. Variation as a function of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the case of the ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst ( $P_{H_2} = 0.7$  MPa,  $T = 250^{\circ}$ C) of: (A) the intensity of the characteristic bands of the adsorbed species formed; (B) the relative amount of products formed.

competitive adsorption occurs between carbonate and methoxy species under  $CO_2/H_2$  over the ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> support.

From the analysis of the gas phase (Fig. 2B) it appears that methanol and carbon monoxide formation are quasi-independent of  $P_{CO_2}$  in almost the whole pressure range studied. The amount of methanol formed (Fig. 2B) is greater than that obtained from the CO/H<sub>2</sub> mixture [27].

In order to study the surface species reactivity, transient experiments were performed by switching off the  $CO_2$  flow after the stationary state was reached and by maintaining the  $H_2$ partial pressure ( $P_{H_2}$ ). The spectra recorded with 10 min intervals (Fig. 3) show the progressive disappearance of formate I and I' species accompanied by a sensible increase of the methoxy species amount. As to formate II species concentration it seemed unchanged.

# 3.3. $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$ catalyst

On the H<sub>2</sub>-activated Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst spectrum, the intensity of the OH band at 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> is much more important than that observed in the case of the ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst. The amount of residual carbonate species is nearly the same but that of residual formates is clearly lower.

Under  $CO_2/H_2$  flow stationary states are rapidly reached. The IR spectra of the catalyst under flow (Fig. 4) show the appearance of the characteristic bands of carbonate, formate and methoxy species adsorbed on the support and of formate species adsorbed on copper. Formate I and I' adsorbed on the support, which were not detected under CO/H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere [27], are here observed. In addition, the carbonate species are in a higher amount than under CO/H<sub>2</sub> flow [27].

The variation of the amount of these adsorbed species with increasing  $P_{CO_2}$  is reported in Fig. 5A where it can be seen that, as for the ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst, the amount of formate II species appears to be independent of  $P_{CO_2}$ . Sim-



Fig. 3. Variation of the intensity of the characteristic bands of the adsorbed species formed with time on stream under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in the case of the ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst after switching off the flow of CO<sub>2</sub>.  $T = 250^{\circ}$ C.

ilar formation amounts are observed for formate I species ( $F_I$ ) and copper formate species ( $F_{Cu}$ ) and these amounts increase in parallel to that of methanol (Fig. 5B).

The carbonate species amount increases with  $P_{\rm CO_2}$ , contrarily to what happened on the

 $ZnAl_2O_4$  support. This fact suggests either the formation of carbonate species directly adsorbed on copper which, in addition, increases their concentration, or the copper promoting effect on carbonate species formed on the support. In addition, the increase of the amount of the



Fig. 4. Spectrum of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst under CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> flow at 250°C.

carbonate species on the catalyst surface (Fig. 5A) is parallel to that of methanol in the gas phase (Fig. 5B).

The same amounts of methoxy species are found on both  $ZnAl_2O_4$  and  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalysts (Fig. 2A and Fig. 5A) under  $CO_2/H_2$  flow. However, in presence of copper, the methoxy species amount is lower under  $CO_2/H_2$  (Fig. 5A) than under  $CO/H_2$  flow [27].

As to the carbonyl species, its corresponding wavenumber increases from 2087 to 2104 cm<sup>-1</sup> when  $P_{CO_2}$  increases from 0.01 to 0.3 MPa (Fig. 1). The observed wavenumber range is

attributed to relatively reduced state of copper. Indeed, according to Ghiotti et al. [29]  $\nu_{CO}$  varies in the range 2125–2115 cm<sup>-1</sup> when CO is adsorbed on Cu<sup>+</sup> species and in the range 2100–2090 cm<sup>-1</sup> when CO is coordinated on metal copper Cu<sup>0</sup>.

Fig. 5B shows that methanol and carbon monoxide appear simultaneously and their formation rates rapidly and similarly increase with  $P_{\rm CO_2}$  up to 0.3 MPa and then seem to reach a plateau. The CO formation rate is an order of magnitude higher (multiplied by 40 at  $P_{\rm CO_2} = 0.3$  MPa) on Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Fig. 5B) than on



Fig. 5. Variation as a function of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the case of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst ( $P_{H_2} = 0.7$  MPa,  $T = 250^{\circ}$ C) of: (A) the intensity of the characteristic bands of the adsorbed species formed; (B) the relative amount of products formed.

 $ZnAl_2O_4$  (Fig. 2B). The presence of copper then substantially modifies the CO formation rate since no rate variation is observed on  $ZnAl_2O_4$ . Moreover, the amount of water formed on Cu–  $ZnAl_2O_4$  fits well with that expected to be produced in both the reverse water gas shift and methanol synthesis reactions. Thus copper is really involved in the reverse water gas shift reaction as well as in methanol synthesis reaction.

Transient experiments, performed in the same conditions as for the  $ZnAl_2O_4$  support, show that under  $H_2$  atmosphere the formate II species concentration remained almost constant whereas formate I and copper formate species rapidly and simultaneously disappeared.

We have also studied the variation of the amount of the adsorbed species (Fig. 6A) and of the reaction products formed in the gas phase (Fig. 6B) as a function of  $P_{\rm H_2}$  at  $P_{\rm CO_2} = 0.1$ MPa. In the adsorbed phase, relatively little variations are observed (Fig. 6A): a slight decrease of the amount of  $F_{I}$  and  $F_{Cu}$  species and, apparently, of carbonate species accompanied by a sensible increase of that of the methoxy species. Contrastingly, the variations observed in the gas phase are clearly more important (Fig. 6B). The rate of formation of methanol was very sensitive to  $P_{\rm H_2}$ : its concentration sharply increased when  $P_{\rm H_2}$  increased. Carbon monoxide formation was also sensitive to  $P_{\rm H_2}$ , although to a lesser extent: its concentration sensibly decreased when  $P_{\rm H_2}$  increased.



Fig. 6. Variation as a function of the partial pressure of  $H_2$  in the case of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst ( $P_{CO_2} = 0.1$  MPa,  $T = 250^{\circ}$ C) of: (A) the intensity of the characteristic bands of the adsorbed species formed; (B) the relative amount of formed products.

25

20

15

#### 4. Discussion

# 4.1. $ZnAl_2O_4$ catalyst

Fig. 2A shows that the amount of formate I species increases with  $P_{CO_2}$ . Since these species are reduced to methoxy species under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (Fig. 3) they can be considered as methanol precursor:

Formate  $I/Zn(Al) \rightarrow OCH_2/Zn(Al)$  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>2</sub>OH + Zn(Al)

As to formate II species, they seem to be not reducible under  $H_2$  atmosphere (Fig. 3) and it may be concluded that they are not directly involved in the methanol synthesis under  $CO_2/H_2$  flow. They were also shown to be inactive under  $CO/H_2$  flow [27]. However, they should be intermediate species in the formation of CO as proposed in literature for the reverse water gas shift reaction [21,23,30,31]. In the present case, formate II species formation (Fig. 2A) as well as CO formation (Fig. 2B) are both independent of  $P_{CO_2}$ , suggesting that the former could be indeed the precursor of the latter.

# 4.2. $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$ catalyst

When considering the behavior of the carbonate,  $F_I$  and  $F_{Cu}$  surface species (Fig. 5A) it seems that their amount increases in parallel to that of methanol and carbon monoxide (Fig. 5B). This result indicates that all these species are good candidate as surface precursor of the products formed. Let us discuss in more detail the observed trends during the  $CO_2/H_2$  reaction course.

# 4.3. Carbonate species adsorbed on the support

The curves for methanol presented in Fig. 5B and Fig. 6B seem to indicate that its rate of formation follows a kinetic mechanism of Eley–Rideal type where  $CO_2$  adsorbed species



Fig. 7. Variation of  $1/r_{\rm CH_3OH}$  as a function of  $1/P_{\rm CO_2}$  in the case of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst.  $P_{CO_2} = 0.1$  MPa,  $T = 250^{\circ}$ C.

should be reduced by molecular hydrogen in the slow step of the reaction. The reaction rate should be then expressed as below:

$$r_{\rm CH_3OH} = k \frac{K_{\rm CO_2} P_{\rm CO_2}}{1 + K_{\rm CO_2} P_{\rm CO_2}} P_{\rm H_2}$$

where k is the rate constant and  $K_{CO_2}$  the adsorption constant for CO<sub>2</sub>. Indeed, a linear curve is obtained for  $r_{CH_2OH}$  as a function of  $P_{\rm H_2}$  at constant  $P_{\rm CO_2}$  (Fig. 6B) as well as for  $1/\tilde{r}_{CH_3OH}$  as a function of  $1/P_{CO_2}$  at constant  $P_{H_2}$  (Fig. 7).

The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed surface species are most probably carbonate species here evidenced and the parallel increase of concentration of both the carbonate species (Fig. 5A) and methanol (Fig. 5B) strengthens this assumption. In addition, the carbonate species concentration sensibly decreased when the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub> increased at constant partial pressure of  $CO_2$  (Fig. 6A), showing it is an active reaction intermediate in methanol synthesis.

# 4.4. $F_{Cu}$ and $F_I$ formate species

It has to be said that the same rate law for methanol formation as above was reported by other authors in the case of the 5%CuO-2.2%ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst [32], but the rate de-

10

termining step proposed was the hydrogenation of surface copper formate species by gaseous hydrogen [32]. Thus, the hydrogenation of  $F_{Cu}$ as rate determining step in the case of methanol synthesis over Cu-ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst should also to be considered. The parallel increase of the  $F_{Cu}$  concentration on the surface of the catalyst (Fig. 5A) and that of methanol in the gas phase (Fig. 5B) confirms they are indeed potential intermediates in the formation of methanol as it was suggested in the case of the  $CO/H_2$  reaction [28]. In addition, these species are reduced under  $H_2$  atmosphere as demonstrated by the transient experiments and their concentration decreases (although slightly) when  $P_{H_a}$  increases (Fig. 6A).

However, for a better fitting of the rate equation to the experimental curves in the hypothesis of the hydrogenation of formate species as rate determining step, it has to be assumed that, in one hand, a strong hydrogen adsorption occurs for the formation of the formate species and, in the other hand, that gaseous hydrogen is involved for the hydrogenation of the formate species [32]. If the latter assumption is experimentally justified — a quite linear curve is obtained for the rate law of methanol formation as a function of  $P_{\rm H_2}$  ([32], this study) — the former is rather speculative, more especially the adsorption of hydrogen on copper materials is complex [1,2,33]. Also we conclude that the simplest kinetic model which explains our results is the Eley-Rideal mechanism involving the hydrogenation of carbonate species in the rate determining step. In such a case, formate species are expected to be produced through the hydrogenation of surface carbonate in the rate determining step of the reaction and to react in the faster subsequent steps. This conclusion is in good agreement with previous work on the same catalytic system [34] showing that carbonate species, besides their role in the dispersion of the active copper phase, participate to the overall mechanism of methanol formation via their hydrogenation into formate species and subsequently metoxide species.

Moreover, it is worth to note the similar behavior of  $F_{C_{12}}$  and  $F_{13}$  species: (i) their concentration increases in parallel to methanol formation in the gas phase (Fig. 5), (ii) it decreases during the transient experiments under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, (iii) or when  $P_{H_2}$  is increased (Fig. 6A). These trends indicate that both species should be associated in methanol formation. However, the analysis of the results shows that the role of  $F_{I}$  species in methanol synthesis is probably more complex. Indeed, if these species adsorbed on the support were directly involved in methanol synthesis, the reaction route should, in turn, involve methoxy species also adsorbed on the support: the concentration of the latter should vary in the same way as that of the former or as that of the rate of methanol appearance. This seems to be not the case (Fig. 5) and we conclude that the route:

$$F_1 \longrightarrow CH_3O \rightarrow CH_3OH$$

is not the main reaction path to methanol. The desorption of methoxy species as gaseous methanol is likely blocked: they accumulate on the surface of the support where they seem to be stable and then behave as spectator species. In other words, the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst most probably catalyses the transformation of  $F_{I}$  species to methanol but this route should be blocked. A possibility to overcome this blocking is the spillover of  $F_{I}$  species onto copper, thus explaining the parallel behavior of  $F_{Cu}$  and  $F_{I}$  species.

# 4.5. Other adsorbed species

The amount of formate II species is constant in the whole range of  $P_{CO_2}$  (Fig. 5A) whereas the rate of methanol formation increased (Fig. 5B). In addition, formate II species do not seem reducible under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere as shown by transient experiments. These results led us to conclude that these surface species are not methanol precursors. As to the carbonyl CO/Cu species, no correlation was found between the variation of their concentration and that of methanol (Fig. 6B).

# 4.6. Reaction paths for methanol and carbon monoxide

The kinetic results have shown that the slow step of the reaction is the reduction of chemisorbed  $CO_2$  by one  $H_2$  gaseous molecule whereas the IR spectra revealed the intervention of carbonate and formate species as active intermediates in methanol formation. The discussion allows us to propose the following mechanism for the methanol synthesis from the  $CO_2/H_2$ mixture over the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst:



As to carbon monoxide, calculations have shown that its formation reaction is at equilibrium in our conditions. Also no kinetic equations can be drawn from the activity curves (Fig. 5B and Fig. 6B). However, these curves compared to that obtained from the IR spectroscopic study (Fig. 5A and Fig. 6A) throws some light on the involved reaction paths. Indeed, the trends of curves in Fig. 5A and B seem to indicate that carbonate,  $\boldsymbol{F}_{I}$  and  $\boldsymbol{F}_{Cu}$  species are also reaction intermediates of CO formation. Since copper enhanced CO formation (compare Fig. 2B and Fig. 5B) mainly surface copper species have to be considered. Also, it is tempting to consider not only copper formate species [21,23,30,31] but also carbonate species as intermediates in the reverse water gas shift reaction:

$$O(H)_{ads} \xrightarrow{CO_2} CO_3(H)_{ads} \xrightarrow{[H]} HCOO_{ads}$$
$$\rightarrow CO + O(H)_{ads} \xrightarrow{[H]} H_2O$$

However, further work is needed to determine the real route(s).

### 5. Conclusions

The study of the  $CO_2/H_2$  reaction at 250°C over  $ZnAl_2O_4$  and  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalysts showed the formation of methanol and carbon monoxide by independent chemical paths. Both methanol and carbon monoxide formation rates were enhanced in presence of copper. The use of in situ IR spectroscopy permitted to detect adsorbed species and to determine their reactivity and role in the formation of both methanol and carbon monoxide. The species evidenced on the support  $(ZnAl_2O_4)$  are symmetric  $(F_1)$  and unsymmetric  $(F_{t'})$  formates, formates adsorbed on alumina sites  $(F_{II})$ , carbonate and methoxy species. In presence of copper  $(Cu-ZnAl_2O_4)$ , copper formates and carbonyl species have been detected in addition to the above species.

Carbonate species should be involved in methanol synthesis and their hydrogenation is most probably the rate determining step of the reaction in the case of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst. Both  $F_{Cu}$  and  $F_{I}$  formate species should be associated in methanol synthesis and the spillover of  $F_{I}$  from the support onto copper is suggested. Formate II and methoxy species were found to be inactive under  $H_{2}$  atmosphere in presence or in absence of copper.

In the case of the  $ZnAl_2O_4$  catalyst, the carbon monoxide formation seemed to be linked to the decomposition of formate species of type II. In the case of the Cu–ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst copper participates to the CO formation through the reverse water gas shift reaction where both carbonate and formate species should be involved.

If we now compare the above results obtained with the  $CO_2/H_2$  mixture to those previous obtained with the  $CO/H_2$  mixture in the same reaction conditions, it appears that the nature and the role of the detected surface species depend strongly on both the reactive atmosphere and on the catalyst nature. For the methanol synthesis on the  $ZnAl_2O_4$  support the active intermediates seemed to be formate I species in both  $CO/H_2$  and  $CO_2/H_2$  atmospheres. In the case of the  $Cu-ZnAl_2O_4$  catalyst copper carbonyl species was the reactive intermediates under  $CO/H_2$  atmosphere, whereas under  $CO_2/H_2$  atmosphere the reaction mechanism involved both carbonate and formate ( $F_{Cu}$ ) and  $F_1$ ) species. The reduction of the former species should be the rate determining step of the reaction. In the  $CO/H_2$  reaction, carbon dioxide is formed in large amount probably due to some support reduction whereas carbon monoxide is formed in the  $CO_2/H_2$  reaction through the reverse water gas shift reaction.

# References

- [1] J.C.J. Bart, R.P.A. Sneeden, Catal Today 2 (1987) 1.
- [2] G.C. Chinchen, P.J. Denny, J.R. Jennings, M.S. Spencer, K.C. Waugh, Appl. Catal. 36 (1988) 1.
- [3] J. Yoshira, S.C. Parker, A. Shafer, C.T. Campbell, Catal. Lett. 31 (1995) 313.
- [4] J. Nakamura, I. Nakamura, T. Uchijima, Y. Kanai, T. Watanabe, M. Saito, T. Fujitani, Catal. Lett. 31 (1995) 325.
- [5] J.E. Baile, C.H. Rochester, G.J. Millar, Catal. Lett. 31 (1995) 333.
- [6] J.S. Lee, K.H. Lee, Y.G. Kim, J. Catal. 144 (1993) 414.
- [7] M.J. Sandoval, A.T. Bell, J. Catal. 144 (1993) 227.
- [8] G.D. Sigzek, H.E. Curry-Hyde, M.S. Wainwright, Appl. Catal. A 115 (1994) 15.

- [9] K.M. Van den Busshe, G.F. Froment, Appl. Catal. 112 (1994) 37.
- [10] B. Denise, O. Cherifi, M.M. Bettahar, R.P.A. Sneeden, Appl. Catal. 48 (1989) 365.
- [11] J. Saussey, T. Rais, J.C. Lavalley, Bull. Soc. Chim. France 3 (1985) 305.
- [12] J. Saussey, J.C. Lavalley, J. Lamotte, T. Rais, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. (1982) 278.
- [13] H. Idriss, Ph.D. Thesis, Strasbourg, 1987.
- [14] C. Chauvin, Ph.D. Thesis, Caen, 1987.
- [15] J.F. Edwards, G.L. Shrader, J. Phys. Chem. 88 (1984) 5620.
- [16] G.A. Vedage, R. Pitchai, R.G. Herman, K. Klier, Proc. 8th Intern. Congr. Catal., Berlin, 1984, vol. 2, p. 47.
- [17] A. Deluzarche, H. Idriss, R. Kieffer, A. Kiennemann, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett. 16 (1981) 207.
- [18] E. Ramaroson, R. Kieffer, A. Kiennemann, Appl. Catal. 4 (1982) 281.
- [19] M. Bowker, H. Houghton, K.C. Waugh, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I 77 (1981) 3023.
- [20] A.A. Dyatlov, V.E. Ostrovskii, Kinet. Catal. Ed. Engl. 26 (1985) 997.
- [21] J.F. Edwards, G.L. Shrader, J. Catal. 94 (1985) 175.
- [22] T. Tagawa, G. Pleizier, Y. Amenomiya, Appl. Catal. 18 (1985) 285.
- [23] S. Fujita, M. Usui, E. Ohara, Takezawa, Catal. Lett. 13 (1992) 349.
- [24] W. Hirsch, D. Hofmann, W. Hirschwald, Proc. 8th Intern. Congr. Catal., Berlin, 1984, vol. 5, p. 251.
- [25] T. Rais, J. Saussey, J.C. Lavalley, J. Mol. Catal. 26 (1984) 159.
- [26] O. Cherifi, S. Monteverdi, M.M. Bettahar, M. Forissier, V. Perrichon, Bull. Soc. Chim. France (1985) 405.
- [27] F. Le Peltier, P. Chaumette, J. Saussey, M.M. Bettahar, J.C. Lavalley, J. Mol. Catal. A 122 (1997) 131.
- [28] J. Saussey, J.C. Lavalley, J. Mol. Catal. 50 (1989) 343.
- [29] G. Ghiotti, F. Boccuzi, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 21 (1985) 235.
- [30] T. Van Herwijinen, W.A. de Jong, J. Catal. 63 (1980) 83.
- [31] K. Klier, C.W. Young, J.G. Nunan, Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 25 (1986) 36.
- [32] Y. Amenomyia, T. Tagawa, Proc. 8th Intern. Congr. Catal., Berlin, 1984, vol. 2, p. 557.
- [33] K.C. Waugh, Catal. Today 15 (1992) 51.
- [34] P. Chaumette, Ph. Courty, J. Barbier, T. Fortin, J.C. Lavalley, C. Chauvin, A. Kiennemann, H. Idriss, R.P.A. Sneeden, B. Denise, Proc. 9th Intern. Congr. Catal., Calgary 1988, M.J. Phillips, M. Ternan (Eds.), p. 585.